IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.40611 of 2008

1. **SANJEEV KUMAR SINGH**
2. **NIRANJAN KUMAR SINGH**

Versus

STATE OF BIHAR

---

3 12.12.2008 Heard .

The petitioners are named accused in a case instituted under section 392 of Indian Penal Code.

The allegations are direct.

The informant, as well as persons who were accompanying the informant, have supported the prosecution case and have named the petitioners.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the implication is due to animosity and in this regard, he refers to the document placed at Annexure-2 which indicates that the uncle of the petitioner had, in the year 2006, lodged a case in which one dafadar was also made accused. It is further submitted that the petitioner has remained in custody since 22.5.2008 and there is no criminal antecedent.

This Court is not impressed by the said arguments.

Having regard to the allegation, this court is not inclined to allow the petitioner the privilege of bail.

The application for bail stands rejected.

However, if the case is not taken up for trial within eight months from today, the petitioner is given liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

pkj **(Kishore K. Mandal, J)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL